

July 6, 2020

The Honorable Seema Verma Administrator Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Department of Health and Human Services 7500 Security Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21244-1850

Re: Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Additional Policy and Regulatory Revisions in Response to the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency and Delay of Certain Reporting Requirements for the Skilled Nursing Facility Quality Reporting Program

Dear Administrator Verma,

The Association for Behavioral Health and Wellness (ABHW) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services' (CMS) 1135 waivers as well as the second interim final rule with comment period (IFC) regarding additional policy and regulatory revisions to Medicare and Medicaid in response the COVID-19 public health emergency. Our comments are outlined below.

ABHW is the national voice for payers that manage behavioral health insurance benefits. ABHW member companies provide coverage to over 200 million people in both the public and private sectors to treat mental health (MH), substance use disorders (SUDs), and other behaviors that impact health and wellness.

I. <u>New 1135 Waivers for Telehealth During COVID-19</u>

A. Audio-Only Telehealth for Certain Services

ABHW is aware that individuals are in a precarious situation when seeking services for MH and SUDs during the pandemic. As such, our members fully support audio-only telehealth for the duration of the public health emergency. However, we caution against rushing to make audio-only telehealth permanent. While we support patients having access to care, we also want it to be quality, evidence-based care; therefore, we urge CMS to collect and analyze data on whether audio-only therapy and counseling are effective as a long-term strategy.

B. Community Mental Health Centers (CMHCs)

Rural health clinics (RHCs) and federally qualified health centers (FQHCs) provide critical services and access to care in underserved areas. Similarly, behavioral health organizations (BHOs) are also a vital component for healthcare for the underserved population of people experiencing mental health and SUDs.¹ BHOs can fall under three categories: accredited by an independent, national accrediting organization, receive state or tribal funding, or qualify as CMHCs as defined in Section 1913(c) of the *Public Health Service Act.*² BHOs are especially important in the midst of the current public health emergency since the uncertainty and fear surrounding COVID-19 is impacting mental health.³ In fact, nearly half of Americans report that the pandemic is harming their mental health.⁴ We appreciate that through the first interim final rule and this waiver, CMS is lifting a number of restrictions for RHCs, FQHCs, and CMHCs related to telehealth. We agree that these are positive changes that will lead to better access to medical care for patients.

Accordingly, we urge CMS to consider that people with MH and SUDs often are unable to leave their homes to meet the initial face-to-face requirement before receiving care via telehealth. Given the impact COVID-19 has already had on patients with MH and SUDs,⁵ we believe it is prudent that these patients have unfettered access to telehealth for behavioral services. As such, we request CMS broaden this 1135 waiver to include BHOs as well.

¹ The National Center for Health Workforce Analysis. *National Projections of Supply and Demand of Selected Behavioral Health Practitioners: 2013-2025*, 2016.

https://bhw.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/bhw/health-workforce-

analysis/research/projections/behavioral-health2013-2025.pdf. Last visited May 8,2020.

² Letter to Congress re: emergency funding for mental health and substance use disorders, May 1,2020.

https://www.warren.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/2020.04.29%20Letter%20to%20Congressio nal%20leadership%20re%20mental%20health%20emergency%20funding.pdf, last visited May 11, 2020.

³ Wan, William, *The coronavirus pandemic is pushing America into a mental-health crisis*. May 4, 2020. <u>https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/2020/05/04/mental-health-coronavirus/</u>. Last visited May 8, 2020.

⁴ Chidambaram, Priya. *The Implications of COVID-19 for Mental Health and Substance Use.* Kaiser Family Foundation, April 21, 2020. <u>https://www.kff.org/health-reform/issue-brief/the-implications-of-covid-19-for-mental-health-and-substance-use/</u>. Last visited May 8, 2020. ⁵ *Id.*

¹³²⁵ G Street NW · Suite 500 · Washington DC, 20005 · 202.449.7660 · ABHW.ORG

II. <u>Second Interim Final Rule</u>

A. Payment for Audio-Only Telephone Evaluation and Management Services (Section N)

In light of the current pandemic, we believe that those who solely have access to audio-only communications should be allowed to receive behavioral health services in this manner during the public health emergency to avoid a lapse in care. We appreciate that CMS has allowed behavioral health service providers to provide audio-only services to Medicare patients in this IFC. However, as stated above, if CMS is debating allowing audio-only encounters on a permanent basis, we again urge CMS to conduct research as to which behavioral health services have sustained efficacy when provided by audio-only. For example, while audio-only appointments may be a great treatment option for those with depression it may not be appropriate for those with schizophrenia.

In addition, we ask CMS to build on the changes made to telehealth in FFS Medicare by allowing (starting in calendar year 2020) audio-only tele-visits to be used to obtain diagnoses for the purposes of Medicare Advantage risk adjustment during the public health emergency. We believe this will help maintain an essential component of payment – basing payment on beneficiary health status and diagnosis data. Without this change challenges will be created for providers, beneficiaries, and CMS to sort out which encounters are audioonly, and how such encounters can be reimbursed.

B. Updating the Medicare Telehealth List (Section AA)

We strongly agree with the decision to add additional services to the Medicare telehealth list through sub-regulatory guidance for the duration of the pandemic. This will expedite the process and efficiently expand the telehealth list so that patients have access to services in a timely manner.

III.Other Considerations Related to the Public Health Emergency
and not Captured by the 1135 Waivers or the IFC

A. Actuarial Soundness

ABHW members, along with many others in the payer community, have pledged to ensure patients are receiving the behavioral health services they need during the public health emergency. To effectively pay providers and cover claims, health plans rely on federal regulations requiring states to set rates in an actuarially sound manner. We are aware that several states have sought to waive actuarial soundness via 1115 Waivers, Healthy Adult Opportunity Waivers, and 1135 emergency waivers. Anticipating that more people will need behavioral health services during and beyond the pandemic, ABHW is concerned that a lack of federal oversight and efforts to allow states to waive the requirements for actuarial soundness will undermine plans' ability to maintain stable provider networks in these challenging times. We applaud CMS for not granting any of the aforementioned waivers and urge you to conduct strong federal oversight of state Medicaid managed care rate-setting to ensure that health plans can continue to deliver benefits.

B. Increase the Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) to States

The Families First Coronavirus Response Act increased FMAP by 6.2 percent to states. However, to ensure that states have sufficient resources to adequately cover and care for the Medicaid population during this crisis, additional increases are needed. ABHW strongly supports an FMAP increase, as do the National Governors Association, Partnership for Medicaid, and the National Association of Medicaid Directors. As such, we recommend an additional FMAP enhancement of 14% that extends beyond the public health emergency to assist states and ensure that patients receive the care they need.

C. Allow Medicaid Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) to Provide Social Determinants of Health Services and Incorporate Those Costs into Capitation Rates

As healthcare is becoming more and more integrated, it is clear that there is a need to treat the whole patient, not just individual symptoms. A mental health system that works for the patient and promotes sustained recovery must include social determinants of health (SDOH), such as housing, jobs, childcare, and others. We believe that SDOH costs should be built into rates and included in the numerator of the medical loss ratio calculation, as opposed to categorized as an administrative cost. This would help reflect the true value of SDOH services and ensure patients are receiving the care they need.

D. Safeguard MH and SUD Benefits

We urge CMS to recognize that in light of the pandemic states are currently making, or will consider making, cuts to Medicaid programs. We are concerned that any cuts to MH or SUD services may negatively affect patient health. During the public health emergency, there have been numerous reports predicting an increase in MH and SUDs^{6,7} and we anticipate individuals will continue to face

⁶ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Coping with Stress. Last visited May 26, 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/managing-stress-anxiety.html ⁷ Hamblin, James. Is Everyone Depressed? *The Atlantic*, May 22, 2020. Last visited May 26, 2020. https://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2020/05/depression-coronavirus/611986/

these challenges related to the pandemic. As such, it is of the utmost importance that CMS do what is necessary to protect these vital services in Medicaid programs.

E. Extend Behavioral Health Benefits Provided by Telehealth Beyond the Public Health Emergency

ABHW strongly supports telehealth benefits provided by an audio-visual format and applauds CMS for lifting many of the telehealth restrictions via the 1135 Waiver granted on March 17, 2020. Our members have seen a significant increase in telehealth services during the pandemic, and as previously stated, reports suggest that the pandemic itself is a cause for MH and SUDs.⁸ Therefore, we anticipate that behavioral health services delivered via telehealth will be needed long after the pandemic is deemed to be over, as it may take time for individuals to feel safe leaving their homes for these services. Accordingly, we urge CMS to extend telehealth utilization for behavioral health services for at least one year after the public health emergency has ended. In parallel, we ask that CMS invest in understanding which services are clinically beneficial to patients at the same (or higher) quality as in-person visits.

IV. <u>Conclusion</u>

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on these 1135 Waivers and IFC. Please feel free to contact Deepti Loharikar, Director of Regulatory Affairs, at <u>loharikar@abhw.org</u> or (202) 449-7659 with any questions.

Sincerely,

⁸ Id.

Parmela Dreenberge

Pamela Greenberg, MPP President and CEO

Association for Behavioral Health and Wellness

¹³²⁵ G Street NW \cdot Suite 500 \cdot Washington DC, 20005 \cdot 202.449.7660 \cdot ABHW.ORG