June 5, 2019

The Honorable Lamar Alexander, Chairman
Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions
428 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Alexander:

On behalf of the Partnership to Amend 42 CFR Part 2 (Partnership), I appreciate the opportunity to respond to your discussion draft legislation, the Lower Health Care Costs Act of 2019.

The Partnership is a coalition of nearly 50 national health care organizations representing a range of stakeholders, including patients, clinicians, hospitals, biopharmaceutical companies, pharmacists, electronic health record (EHR) vendors, and insurance providers. As organizations dedicated to ensuring that people across the country have access to high quality, person-centered care, the Partnership is committed to aligning 42 CFR Part 2 (Part 2) with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) for the purposes of treatment, payment, and health care operations (TPO) to allow appropriate access to patient information that is essential for delivery and coordination of care while protecting patient privacy. See attached position paper for more information and a full list of Partnership members.

As you prepare to markup the Lower Health Care Costs Act of 2019, we urge to add the legislative language in S. 1012, the Protecting Jessica Grubb’s Legacy Act sponsored by Senators Shelley Moore Capito (R-WV) and Joe Manchin (D-WV), to your legislation. This bill would align Part 2 with HIPAA for TPO, and strengthen protections against the use of addiction records in criminal, civil, or administrative proceedings. In addition, the bill further amplifies patient protections by incorporating antidiscrimination language, significantly enhancing penalties for any breach of a person’s substance use record, and adding breach notification requirements. Modernizing Part 2 – by aligning it with HIPAA for TPO – will continue to protect an individual’s personal health information, improve the exchange of health information, and reduce barriers to innovation and coordinated care, thereby contributing to increased quality care and decreased health care costs, particularly for substance use disorders (SUD).

Part 2, Federal Confidentiality of Substance Use Disorder Patient Records, sets requirements limiting the use and disclosure of patients’ substance use records from certain substance use treatment programs. Patients must submit written consent prior to the disclosure of their SUD record. Obtaining multiple consents from the patient is challenging and creates barriers to whole-person, integrated approaches to care, which are part of our current health care framework. In situations where the patient does not give consent, Part 2 regulations may lead to a doctor treating a patient and writing prescriptions for opioid pain medication for that individual without knowing the person has a SUD. Separation of a patient’s addiction record from the rest of that person’s medical
record creates obstacles and prevents patients from receiving safe, effective, high quality substance use treatment and coordinated care.

Part 2 was created to reduce stigma associated with SUDs and encourage people to seek treatment without fear of prosecution by law enforcement. These important goals can still be addressed while modernizing the regulations. Part 2 is not compatible with the way health care is currently delivered; and in order to bring the regulations in line with 21st Century health care, Part 2 needs to harmonize with HIPAA to allow for the transmission of SUD records without written consent for TPO. This will promote integrated care and enhance patient safety, protect against prosecution by law enforcement, and provide health care professionals with one federal privacy standard for all of medicine.

Part 2 presents enormous barriers to patient safety and coordinated care, and it creates a clinical burden for physicians. When a patient’s written consent is not available to a provider, a great administrative burden exists when trying to physically locate a patient to obtain that consent. If a provider does not ultimately receive written consent from the patient to access his or her addiction record, the inability to see a patient’s entire medical record hinders patient safety. This inhibits the electronic exchange of health information, reducing the effectiveness of clinical reports to physicians, and delaying data transmission to providers. SUDs can have complicated ripple effects on a patient’s health that need to be carefully identified and coordinated.

Health care is constantly evolving, and our coalition members are able to use technology and data to improve care delivery and outcomes and reduce costs for the toughest chronic diseases, with the exception of SUDs. The ability to share patients’ entire medical records by aligning Part 2 with HIPAA for TPO will lead to better health care, reduced costs, and improved safety.

Thank you for considering our recommendation. If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 449-7660 or gilmore@abhw.org.

Sincerely,

Maeghan Gilmore, MPH
Chair, Partnership to Amend 42 CFR Part 2

Attachment: Partnership to Amend 42 CFR Part 2 One-Pager
The undersigned organizations agree on the following:

- Part 2 provisions are not compatible with the way health care is delivered currently.
- Access to a patient’s entire medical record, including addiction records, ensures that health care professionals have all the information necessary for safe, effective, high quality treatment and care coordination that addresses all of a patient’s health needs.
- Failure to integrate services and supports can lead to risks and dangers to individual patients, such as contraindicated prescription medicines and problems related to medication adherence.
- Obtaining multiple consents from a patient is challenging and creates barriers to whole-person, integrated approaches to care that have proven to produce the best outcomes for our patients.
- Part 2 requirements should be aligned fully with the HIPAA requirements that allow the use and disclosure of patient information for treatment, payment, and health care operations (TPO).
- Health care professionals, insurers, and others who receive basic health information through a health information exchange or a shared electronic health record should not use this information to discriminate against patients regarding quality of care, payment of covered services, or access to care.
- Part 2 information should not be disclosed for non-treatment purposes to law enforcement, employers, divorce attorneys, or others seeking to use the information against the patient, which the HIPAA privacy framework already easily accommodates. Existing penalties for unauthorized release and use of confidential medical information should apply.
- In the 116th Congress, we support H.R. 2062, the Overdose Prevention and Patient Safety Act (OPPS Act) and S. 1012, the Protecting Jessica Grubb’s Legacy Act. Both bills align Part 2 with HIPAA for the purposes of TPO, while strengthening protections against the use of addiction records in criminal proceedings.